

Phone (775) 588 4547

S HISHORE

Market St.

vateline,NV

Monday Enday 9:00 am 5:00 pm

Fax:(7/5)588-4527

NORTH SHORE 3080 North Lake Blvd. Tahoe City,CA

Tuesday finday 1900 am 4:00pm **MAIL**PO Box 5310
Stateline INV 89449 5310

Accepting Applications Until 4:00 pm at both offices

www.trpa.org

tipas tipa org

Print Form

INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FOR DETERMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

I.	Assessor's Parcel Number (APN)/Project Location	on 127-	030-31	127-030-15 127-040-07 122-010-05
	Project Name 3rd Creek Fuels Red.	ac Eion	ProSect	County/City Washoe / Incline Vig

Brief Description of Project:

See Vegetution Management Plan

The following questionnaire will be completed by the applicant based on evidence submitted with the application. All "Yes" and "No, With Mitigation" answers will require further written comments.

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

1. Land

Mill the proposal result is

VV	illi the proposal result in:				
a.	Compaction or covering of the soil beyond the limits allow land capability or Individual Parcel Evaluation System (IP	red i ES)	n the ?		
		Γ	Yes	Ŋ	Q No
		Γ	- No, With Mitigation	٢	- Data Insufficient
b.	A change in the topography or ground surface relief featurinconsistent with the natural surrounding conditions?	res (of site		
		Γ	Yes	Ø	No No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Γ-	Data Insufficient
C.	Unstable soil conditions during or after completion of the	orop	osal?		
		Γ	Yes	Ø	No
		Г	No, With Mitigation	Г	Data Insufficient
d. (Changes in the undisturbed soil or native geologic substruct grading in excess of 5 feet?	ture	es or		
		Г	Yes	IXI	No
		厂	No, With Mitigation	Г	Data Insufficient
e. T	The continuation of or increase in wind or water erosion of seither on or off the site?	soils	,		
		Γ	Yes	[X	No
		Г	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient

Insufficient

siltation, depositio	sition or erosion of beach s n or erosion, including natur the channel of a river or str	al littoral processes	
		┌ Yes	r No
		┌─ No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
 g. Exposure of people earthquakes, landsli ground failure, or sir 	or property to geologic hazar ides, backshore erosion, ava nilar hazards?	ds such as elanches, mud slides,	
		┌ Yes	⊠ No
2. Air Quality		ー No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
Will the proposal result i	in:		
a. Substantial air polluta	ant emissions?		
		☐ Yes	[X) No
		P No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
b. Deterioration of ambie	ent (existing) air quality?		
		☐ Yes	D No
		ر— No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
c. The creation of object	ctionable odors?		
		☐ Yes	⊠ No
		┌── No, With Mitigation	Γ Data Insufficient
 d. Alteration of air moven in climate, either locall 	ment, moisture or temperature ly or regionally?	e, or any change	
		☐ Yes	№ No
		P No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient

e. Increased use of diesel fuel?		
	┌ Yes	Γ ≫ No
3. Water Quality	P No, With Mitigation	DataInsufficient
Will the proposal result in:		
a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water	er movemente?	
The second of water	or movements:	
	☐ Yes	I ∑ No
•	ー No, With Mitigation	Γ– Data Insufficient
 b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the amount of surface water runoff so that a 20 yr. 1 hr. (approximately 1 inch per hour) cannot be contained or 	storm runoff	
	☐ Yes	I ⊅ No
	┌── No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
c. Alterations to the course or flow of 100-yearflood water	ers?	
	┌ Yes	Ŋ No
	Γ— No, With Mitigation	C Data Insufficient
d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water bo	dy?	
	┌ Yes	∏ No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surquality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolutribidity?	rface water ved oxygen or	
	☐ Yes	Ŋ No
	ر— No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient

f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground wate	er?
	Γ Yes 🕅 No
	├─ No, With
g. Change in the quantity of groundwater, either through dir additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an a or excavations?	rect equifer by cuts
	Γ Yes Ø No
	├ No, With ├ Data Mitigation Insufficient
h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available public water supplies?	ailable for
	Γ Yes Γχ Νο
	┌──No, With ┌──Data Mitigation Insufficient
i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards flooding and/or wave action from 100-year storm occur seiches?	s such as rence or
	Γ Yes
	┌──No, With ┌──Data Mitigation
j. The potential discharge of contaminants to the groundwa alteration of groundwater quality?	ater or any
	「 Yes
	┌── No, With ┌── Data Mitigation
k. Is the project located within 600 feet of a drining water sour	rce?
	Γ Yes I X No
i	Г No, With

4. Vegetation

Will the proposal result in:

 Removal of native vegetation in excess of the area util actual development permitted by the land capability/IP 	ized for the ES system?	
	┌ Yes	Ø No
	⊢ No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
b. Removal of riparian vegetation or other vegetation associated wildlife habitat, either through direct removal or lowering of the groundwater table?	ociated with r indirect	
	☐ Yes	No Ki
	┌── No, With Mitigation	┌ Data Insufficient
c. Introduction of new vegetation that will require exces water, or will provide a barrier to the normal replenish species?	sive fertilizer or ment of existing	
	☐ Yes	7 No
	┌─ No, With Mitigation	厂 Data Insufficient
d. Change in the diversity or distribution of species, or num species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?	ber of any micro flora	
	□ Yes	⋈ No
	Γ No, With Mitigation	P Data Insufficient
e. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endange of plants?	ered species	
	┌ Yes	⊠ No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient

	f. Removal of stream bank and/or backshore vegetation woody vegetation such as willows?	, inc	cluding	
		i	┌ Yes	I X No
		l	— No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
	g. Removal of any native live, dead or dying trees30 inches in diameter at breast height (dbh) within TRPA's Conse Recreation land use classifications?	or g rvati	reater ion or	
		Γ	Yes	No No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
	h. A change in the natural functioning of an old growth ecosy	yster	m?	
		Γ	Yes	⋈ No
5. Wildl	ife	Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
	Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity or distribution of species, or number species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, shellfish, benthic organisms, insects, mammals, amphibian microfauna)?	fich	and	
		Γ	Yes	№ No
		Г	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
t	D. Reduction of the number of any unique, rare or endangered of animals?	l spe	ecies	
		Γ	Yes	No No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Pata Insufficient

c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, of barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	or result in a	
	☐ Yes	No No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
d. Deterioration of existing fish or wildlife habitat quantity of	or quality?	
	☐ Yes	∏ No
C Naine	P No, With Mitigation	□ Data Insufficient
6. Noise		
Will the proposal result in:		
a. Increases in existing Community Noise Equivalency Leve beyond those permitted in the applicable Plan Area State Community Plan or Master Plan?	els (CNEL) ement,	
	☐ Yes	⋈ No
	⊢ No, With Mitigation	─ Data Insufficient
b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?		
		∏ō No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
c. Single event noise levels greater than those set forth in Noise Environmental Threshold?	the TRPA	
	┌ Yes	∏∕ No
	P No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient

7. Light and Glare

Will the proposal:

a. I	Include new or modified sources of exterior lighting?				
		Γ	Yes	p	Ø No
	I	Γ	No, With Mitigation	Γ	 Data Insufficient
b. C if	reate new illumination which is more substantial than other I any, within the surrounding area?	ligh	ting,		
	Γ		Yes	×	1 No
	Γ	-	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
c. C	Cause light from exterior sources to be cast off -site or onto ands?	pu	blic		
	Г	- ,	Yes	Ø	' No
	٢	- I	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
d. Cre or t	eate new sources of glare through the siting of the improvem through the use of reflective materials?	nent	ts		
	Г	Y	es	[X	No
	٣		o, With litigation		Data Insufficient
8. Land Use					
Will th	e proposal:				
ap	clude uses which are not listed as permissible uses in the plicable Plan Area Statement, adopted Community Plan, or an?	r Ma	aster		
•	Г	Ye	es	Ŋ	No
	Г		o, With itigation		Data Insufficient

an existing front-conforming use?		
	☐ Yes	No No
	No, With Mitigation	┌ Data Insufficient
9. Natural Resources		
Will the proposal result in:		
a. A substantial increase in the rate of use of any natural	resources?	
	┌ Yes	Ŋ No
	Г No, With Mitigation	Γ Data Insufficient
b. Substantial depletion of any non-renewable natural res	ource?	
	┌ Yes	₽ No
40 80 4 40 4	┌── No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
10. Risk of Upset		
Will the proposal:		
 Involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, radiation in the event of an accident or upset conditions 	chemicals or	
		<i>™</i> No
	⊢ No, With Mitigation	Γ Data Insufficient
b. Involve possible interference with an emergency evacua	ion plan?	
		₩ No
	Г No, With Mitigation	Γ [—] Data Insufficient

Will the proposal:		
a. Alter the location, distribution, density, or growth a population planned for the Region?	rate of the human	
	☐ Yes	₩ No
	┌── No, With Mitigation	┌─ Data Insufficient
b. Include or result in the temporary or permanent dis residents?	splacement of	
	┌ Yes	MO No
	Γ No, With Mitigation	□ Data Insufficient
12. Housing		
Will the proposal:		
a. Affect existing housing, or create a demand for add	ditional housing?	

To determine if the proposal will affect existing housing or create a demand for additional housing, please answer the following questions:

(1) Will the proposal decrease the amount of housing in the Tahoe Region?

> Г Data No, With Mitigation Insufficient

(2) Will the proposal decrease the amount of housing in the Tahoe Region historically or currently being rented at rates affordable by lower and very-low-income households?

> No, With Data
> Mitigation Insufficient

Number of Existing Dwelling Units:

Number of Proposed Dwelling Units:

very-low-income households?	-inco	me and	
		┌ Yes	I ⊅ No
		No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
13. Transportation/Circulation			
Will the proposal result in:			
a. Generation of 100 or more new Daily Vehicle Trip Ends	(DVI	E)?	
	Г	Yes	Ø No
	Γ	No, With Mitigation	┌─ Data Insufficient
b. Changes to existing parking facilities, or demand for new	/ park	ting?	
	Γ	Yes	Ø No
	Γ	- No, With Mitigation	Γ Data Insufficient
c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation system highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities?	s, inc	luding	
	Г	Yes	No K
	Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement and/or goods?	of pe	ople	
	Г	Yes	No No
	Г	No, With Mitigation	Г Data Insufficient
e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			
	Γ	Yes	₩ No
	Г	No, With Mitigation	— Data Insufficient

f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclist pedestrians?	s, or	
	┌ Yes	⊠ No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
14. Public Services		
Will the proposal have an unplanned effect upon, or result in new or altered governmental services in any of the following	n a need for g areas?	
a. Fire protection?	「 Yes	⊠ No
	P No, With Mitigation	┌─ Data Insufficient
b. Police protection?		
		Ø No
	No, With Mitigation	P Data Insufficient
c. Schools?		
	┌ Yes	₽ No
		Γ Data Insufficient
d. Parks or other recreational facilities?		
	☐ Yes	₽ √ Νο
	P No, With Mitigation	┌─ Data Insufficient
e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?		
	┌ Yes	₩ No
	Γ No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient

			┌ Yes	Ø No
			No, With	_ Data
15. Ener	av	,	Mitigation	' Insufficient
	Will the proposal result in:			
c	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			
		Γ	Yes	77 No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
b	Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of e require the development of new sources of energy?	ener	gy, or	
		Γ	Yes	ЛО No
			No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
16. Utilitie	es			
E) ne	scept for planned improvements, will the proposal result in a ew systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilitie	nee s:	ed for	
a.	Power or natural gas?	_	Yes	50 No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
b.	Communication systems?			
		Γ	Yes	⊠ No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
C.	Utilize additional water which amount will exceed the max permitted capacity of the service provider?	cimu	m	
		Γ	Yes	No No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient

f. Other governmental services?

exceed the maximum permitted capacity of the sewage to provider?	reatr	ment		
	Γ	Yes	×	⁷ No
	Γ	- No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
e. Storm water drainage?				
	Γ	Yes	ΙZ	No
	Г	No, With Mitigation	۲	Data Insufficient
f. Solid waste and disposal?				
	Г	Yes	77 0	No
	Г	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
17. Human Health				
Will the proposal result in:				
a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (exmental health)?	ccluc	ding		
	Γ	Yes	7	No
	Γ	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?				
	Г	Yes	Ι¥	No
	Γ	No, With Mitigation		Data Insufficient

18. Scenic Resources/Community Design

Will the proposal:

a.	Be visible from any state or federal highway, Pioneer Tra Lake Tahoe?	il or	from		
		Γ	Yes	50 No	
		ŗ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficien	t
b.	Be visible from any public recreation area or TRPA designation bicycle trail?	nated	t		
		Γ	Yes	Ŋ No	
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient	
C.	Block or modify an existing view of Lake Tahoe or other seen from a public road or other public area?	scei	nic vista		
		Γ_	Yes	Ø No	
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient	
d . I	Be inconsistent with the height and design standards requi applicable ordinance or Community Plan?	red b	by the		
		Γ	Yes	₩ No	
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient	
e. E	Be inconsistent with the TRPA Scenic Quality Improvement SQIP) or Design Review Guidelines?	: Pro	gram		
		Г	Yes	Ŋ No	
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	P Data Insufficient	

19. Recreation

Does the proposal:

a.	Create	additional	demand	for	recreation	facilities?
----	--------	------------	--------	-----	------------	-------------

		Γ	Yes	P	7 No
		Γ	- No, With Mitigation	٢	- Data Insufficient
b.	Create additional recreation capacity?				
		Γ_	Yes	X	No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
C.	Have the potential to create conflicts between recreation existing or proposed?	use	s, either		
		Γ	Yes	図	No
		Г	No, With Mitigation	Г	Data Insufficient
d.	Result in a decrease or loss of public access to any lake, wo	aten	way,		
		Γ	Yes	Ø	No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Г	Data

20. Archaeological/Historical

a. Will the proposal result in an alteration of or adverse physical or aesthetic effect to a significant archaeological or historical site, structure, object or building?

Γ	Yes	J O No	
Γ	No, With Mitigation	P Data	nt

Insufficient

Mitigation

	 b. Is the proposed project located on a property with any kn cultural, historical, and/or archaeological resources, resources on TRPA or other regulatory official maps or re 	inclu	ding s?		
		Γ	Yes	X	7 No
		۲	- No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
•	c. Is the property associated with any historically significa and/or sites or persons?	nt ev	rents		
		Γ	Yes	Ø	No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Г	Data Insufficient
c	I. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?	chan	ge		
		Γ_	Yes	Ø	No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
е	Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religious or uses within the potential impact area?	sacr	ed		
		Γ	Yes	7	No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
21. Findir	gs of Significance.				
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish pop drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a pla animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range endangered plant or animal or eliminate important exam major periods of California or Nevada history or prehistory?	ulation ant or of a	on to r		
		Γ	Yes	[7 7] 1	No
			No, With Mitigation		Data nsufficient

b.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relative definitive period of time, while long-term impacts will enduthe future.)	-term /elv-h	n orief		
		Γ	Yes	K	7 No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Г	Data Insufficient
C.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limit cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two separate resources where the impact on each resource is small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts or environmental is significant?)	or m	ore		
		Г	Yes	Ø	No
		Г	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
	Does the project have environmental impacts which will can substantial adverse effects on human being, either directly indirectly?	use or			
		Γ-	Yes	Ø	No
		Γ_	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient

I hereby control evaluation and belief.	I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best ofmy ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.						
Signature: (Original signature required.)							
	Person Preparing Application	At Wash	Date: 5 -	<u>-29-2008</u>			
Applica	ant Written Comments: (Attach additional s	heets if necessary)					

Print Form

DECLARATION:

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Date Receive	eived:By:	
Determinat	nation:	
Or	On the basis of this evaluation:	
a.	a. The proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment and a finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with TRPA's Rules of Procedure.	Γ No
b.	b. The proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, but due to the listed mitigation measures which have been added to the project, could have no significant effect on the environment and a mitigated finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with TRPA's Rules and Procedures.	
	☐ Yes	┌ No
C.	The proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment and an environmental impact statement shall be prepared in accordance with this chapter and TRPA's Rules of Procedure	
	☐ Yes	Γ No
	Part 16/2	128
	Signature of Evaluator	100

Forester Title of Evaluator